Raman analysis of paintings: comparison between different excitation wavelengths in mobile systems

¹D. Bersani, ²A. Coccato, ³D. Lauwers, ²P. Vandenabeele, ⁴G. Barone,⁴S. Raneri, ⁴P. Mazzoleni, ⁵S. Quartieri, ⁵G. Sabatino, ⁶D. Manzini

(1) University of Parma, Department of Physics and Earth Sciences, Parco Area delle Scienze, 7/a - 43124 Parma. (2) Ghent University, Department of Archaeology, Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 35, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium (3) Ghent University, Department of Analytical chemistry, Krijgslaan 281, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium (4) University of Catania, Department of Biology, Geology and Environmental Sciences, Corso Italia 57 – 95129 Catania, Italy. (5) University of Messina, Dip. di Scienze Matematiche e Informatiche, Scienze Fisiche e Scienze della Terra, v.le F. S. d'Alcontres 31, 98166 Messina S. Agata, Italy (6) Madatec srl, Via Montegrappa, 18, 20060 Pessano con Bornago (MI) Italy

INTRODUCTION

Mobile Raman spectrometers can be a very powerful tools for the non-destructive in situ investigation of artworks that cannot be moved to laboratories, because of their size or their value. A major limitation of most portable instruments is the use of a single laser line, usually chosen between the very common 532 nm generated by a doubled Nd:YAG laser and the 785 nm line of a diode laser. The presence of a second laser line could help in case a strong fluorescence overwhelms the Raman signal.



We studied important paintings present in Sicily (Italy):

1) Wall paintings of Sala Vaccarini at the Libraries "Civica and A. Ursino Recupero", 16th century monumental complex of Benedictine Monastery in Catania.

2) A group a few paintings from the Italian master Caravaggio (1571-1610) and from his disciples, **Regional Museum of Messina.**

Instruments:

- A) dual laser mobile Raman spectrometer, EZRAMAN-I-DUAL Raman system (TSI Inc., Irvine)
 - CA, USA), equipped with a 785 nm and a 532 nm excitation source,
- B) mobile Raman spectrometer from B&W Tek Inc. (i-Raman EX) with a NIR source, at 1064 nm.



Resurrezione di Lazzaro (Caravaggio)





WALL PAINTINGS SALA VACCARINI The Alchemy Point Colour Raman results Raman results Area 785 nm 1064 nm Anglesite (PbSO₄), 8A, Skin Carbon black Pinkish 12A, Gypsum (CaSO₄) Calcite $(CaCO_3)$ Lead white $(2PbCO_3.Pb(OH)_2)$ 13A Calcite (CaCO₃) Gypsum (CaSO₄) Red lead (Pb₃O₄) Lead white (2PbCO₃.Pb(OH)₂) Limewash (Ca hydroxides) 18A Women's Vermillion (HgS) Red Calcite $(CaCO_3)$ Vest 785nm 785nm 1064 nm





Adorazione dei Pastori (Caravaggio)







Wavenumber/cm

The Medicine

785nm

| Point | Area | Colour | Raman results 785 nm | Raman results 1064 nm | () |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|---|----|
| 2C, 1C, 6C, 10C | Landscape | Blue and Green | Carbon black Gypsum (CaSO ₄) Calcite (CaCO ₃) Copper Chloride | Carbon black Calcite (CaCO ₃) Lead white $(2PbCO_3.Pb(OH)_2)$ Gypsum (CaSO ₄) Limewash (Ca hydroxides) | |

Wavenumber/cm



Wavenumber/cn









Conclusions

Despite the very strong fluorescence, probably related to the presence of varnish, the use of different laser lines allowed to identify most of the main pigments in the oil paintings of Caravaggio and disciples. A larger number of pigments were identified in the wall paintings of Sala Vaccarini, with both instruments. Different sources identified different pigments.

The various laser lines showed different behavior during the analysis: lower fluorescence was induced by the source with the longest wavelength (at 1064 nm) but the measurements required a slightly longer time due to low scattering efficiency at high wavelengths. The highest efficiency can normally be reached with the lowest wavelength (532 nm), but in this work the induced fluorescence was too high to produce useful results. A good compromise was represented by the 785 nm line, whose increase in efficiency respect to the 1064 line is balanced by a worse fluorescence background. Even the different cut-off at low wavenumbers should be considered (i.e. for some inorganic pigments). As final conclusion, it can be said that both instruments have their advantages and disadvantages. Depending on the research question the one is preferred above the other.